

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use “not applicable” or “does not apply” only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words “project,” “applicant,” and “property or site” should be read as “proposal,” “proponent,” and “affected geographic area,” respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Amendments to the City of Lake Forest Municipal Code Chapter 16.25, Water Quality, to implement the requirements of the Western Washington Phase II Municipal Stormwater Permit, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and State Waste Discharge General Permit for discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewers in Western Washington (NPDES Phase II Permit), issued on July 1, 2019 and to update references to the King County Surface Water Design Manual (KCSWDM). Collectively, these amendments are referred to throughout this checklist as the “source control amendments.”

2. Name of applicant:

City of Lake Forest Park.

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Andrew Silvia, Senior Project Manager
City of Lake Forest Park
17425 Ballinger Way NE
206-957-2836

4. Date checklist prepared:

June 7, 2022.

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Lake Forest Park.

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The City of Lake Forest Park anticipates considering adoption of the source control amendments in late June 2022.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

The source control amendments call for the preparation of an inventory of sites with the potential to generate pollutants to the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (M4) and to implement an associated inspection program for those sites, which would occur after adoption of the source control amendments.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

Any environmental information prepared for the Phase II NPDES Permit or the KCSWDM. Otherwise, no known environmental information related to this proposal has been prepared or will be prepared.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

Numerous permit applications are pending for projects on properties within the City of Lake Forest Park, where the source control amendments would apply, and some may be on sites that would be required to be included on the source control inventory.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Approval by the Lake Forest Park City Council is the only government approval required for adoption of the source control amendments.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The objective of the source control amendments is to amend the Lake Forest Park Municipal Code (LFPMC) regulations related to source control to implement the requirements of the NPDES Phase II Permit, issued on July 1, 2019 and update references to the KCSWDM. Specifically, the source control amendments include the following:

- Amending all existing references to the KCSWDM to refer to the “current” version;
- Adding a definition of “source control inventory”
- Adding a new subsection C. to Section 16.25.025 LFPMC, to identify those businesses and activities, as identified in the NPDES Phase II Permit, are required to implement Best Management Practices (BMPs)
- Clarifying that failure to implement source control BMP’s constitutes a violation that is subject to enforcement
- Adding a new Section 16.25.047 LFPMC, establishing an inspection program for source control inventory sites.
- Other minor amendments to correct or clarify existing code.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would apply within the city of Lake Forest Park. Some provisions would apply only to particular areas, such as those sites included on the source control inventory or that are subject to complaint and inspection.

B. Environmental Elements [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Earth [\[help\]](#)

a. General description of the site:

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” the amendments apply to all of the city of Lake Forest Park, which includes areas that are flat, rolling, hilly, and steep slope as well as streams, rivers, floodplains, and is adjacent to Lake Washington.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” the city of Lake Forest Park includes areas designated as steep slope critical areas. It is possible there may be steep slopes on properties subject to the source control amendments and identified on the source control inventory.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” soil in Lake Forest Park generally reflects geologically recent glacial and alluvial (river and stream) activity as well as human activity. River valleys are generally occupied by poorly drained, silty loams that commonly have a substantial organic content. Soils on upland areas between valleys typically are coarser-grained sandy and gravelly sandy loams, but soils with high organic content do occur locally in these upland areas and along water bodies. No agricultural soils or prime farmland are located in the city of Lake Forest Park. Much of its native soils have been altered by filling, grading, and other activity.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Although the source control amendments are a nonproject action with no identifiable “site,” geologically hazardous areas, including landslide, erosion-prone, and seismic risk areas, exist within the city of Lake Forest Park. Landslide and erosion-prone areas are associated primarily with steep slopes. There may be unstable soils on properties regulated under the source control amendments.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly authorize any fill, excavation, or grading. All sites regulated by the source control amendments would continue to be subject to other applicable regulations related to stormwater management, impervious surfaces, critical areas, clearing and grading, or landscaping.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not involve any clearing, construction or use that could cause erosion.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not involve construction or impact impervious surfaces.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Because the source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts, no measures to control erosion or other impacts to the earth have been proposed. Lake Forest Park's existing regulations related to erosion and soils would continue to apply.

2. Air [\[help\]](#)

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not result in any direct emissions to the air and would not modify any applicable federal, state, or local codes that provide standards or controls for emissions.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not be affected by off-site sources of emissions or odor.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Although the source control amendments are a nonproject action and would not have any direct impacts to air, any site regulated by the source control amendments would also remain subject to existing regulations regarding emissions and reporting requirements. Additional federal, state, and local codes may provide standards and controls for these types of emissions and would not be modified by the source control amendments. As a result, no measures to reduce or control emissions or other potential impacts to air are proposed.

3. **Water** [\[help\]](#)

a. **Surface Water:** [\[help\]](#)

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.**

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” numerous streams, creeks (including McAleer Creek and Lyon Creek) and wetlands are located within the city of Lake Forest Park. The city is located on the shores of Lake Washington.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.**

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly require any work over, in, or adjacent to the described waters, it is possible that a site that is regulated under the source control amendments will be located over, in, or adjacent to the described waters.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not require any fill or dredge material to be placed or removed. Sites regulated under the source control amendments would be subject to all state, local, and federal regulations, including mitigation requirements, concerning fill or dredge material placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not require any surface water withdrawals or diversions.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.**

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” several areas in the city of Lake Forest Park lie within a 100-year floodplain. Sites regulated under the source control amendments would also be subject to existing rules and limitations pertaining to floodplain development and fill.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site.” The purpose of the amendments is to regulate the potential discharge of waste materials to surface waters. The amendment to LFPMC 16.25.025 requires best management practices for a range of businesses and activities that would discharge waste materials. These requirements would be in addition to any existing local, state, or federal requirements.

b. Ground Water: [\[help\]](#)

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action and would not withdraw groundwater or discharge to groundwater. Sites regulated by the source control amendments may withdraw or discharge water. Any groundwater use or discharge to groundwater would be subject to all existing state, local, and federal regulations concerning groundwater removal and protection.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not result in any discharge of waste material into the ground. The source control amendments are aimed at regulating and preventing certain types of discharges and would apply in addition to any existing requirements related to the treatment and disposal of waste.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.**

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly affect water runoff, sites regulated by the source control amendments, would be required to comply with the King County Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual, including applicable BMPs for treatment and flow prior to discharge, and existing maximum impervious surface regulations.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.**

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly result in any waste material entering ground or surface waters, sites regulated by the source control amendments may generate waste matter that could enter ground or surface waters. All sites would be subject to existing state, local, and federal regulations concerning the protection of surface and ground water, as well as the source control amendments.

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.**

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” sites that are regulated by the source control amendments could be used in a way that affect drainage patterns on their respective sites. All sites would be subject to existing regulations related to drainage, which would be unchanged by the source control amendments.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts to surface or ground water, runoff water, or drainage patterns. The purpose of the source control amendments, however, is to reduce and control potential illicit discharges and would reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts. As a non-project action, no additional measures are proposed.

4. Plants [\[help\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” the city of Lake Forest Park includes a variety of vegetation types on the various lands that the source control amendments would apply to, including those listed above.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly remove any vegetation. The kind and amount of vegetation that could be removed or altered from any site that is regulated by the source control amendments is not known and would be subject to existing state and local regulations that regulate vegetation removal or alteration, in the same manner as other uses.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site.” There are no known federally listed threatened or endangered plant species in the city of Lake Forest Park. However, there are several species in King County, and likely Lake Forest Park, listed as threatened or endangered according to the Washington State Natural Heritage Program, including clubmoss mountain-heather, Kamchatka fritillary, Pacific peavine, white meconella, choriso bog-orchid, and little bluestem.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Although the source control amendments are a nonproject action with no identifiable “site,” landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation could be proposed on sites that are regulated under the source control amendments. As with any development in the city of Lake Forest Park, any development on a site regulated by the source control amendments would also be subject to existing regulations governing landscaping, use of native plants, and preservation of vegetation on their respective sites.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Although source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” a variety of noxious weeds and invasive species exist in the city of Lake Forest Park, likely including sites regulated by the source control amendments. For example, Bohemian Knotweed, Purple Loosestrife, and Garlic Mustard have all been identified within the city. The source control amendments do not change any obligations to control noxious weeds.

5. Animals [\[help\]](#)

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: great horned owl, mallards, hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, and others.

mammals: rabbits, raccoon, deer, bear, elk, beaver, bobcat and others.

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, paemouth, shellfish, and others.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” a variety of birds, mammals, and fish have been observed in the city of Lake Forest Park.

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” there are a number of threatened and endangered species in King County, and likely Lake Forest Park, according to, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. These species could include the Canada lynx, gray wolf, , North American wolverine, marbled murrelet, northern spotted owl, streaked horned lark, yellow-billed cuckoo, Oregon spotted frog, bull trout, Puget Sound Chinook salmon, Puget Sound steelhead, bocaccio rockfish, and yelloweye rockfish.

In addition to the federally listed species above, the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife maintains a list of priority species for which conservation measures should be taken. State threatened and endangered species not included with the federally listed species include the western pond turtle and the fisher.

All sites subject to the source control amendments would also have to comply with existing state, local, and federal regulations that protect these species.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” Lake Forest Park is located within the Pacific Flyway migratory pathway for birds, and there are several streams and water bodies within Lake Forest Park that serve as migration routes for fish.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

The source control amendments are a non-project action and would not have any direct impacts to wildlife, so no measures to preserve or enhance wildlife are necessary. Existing federal, state, and local wildlife regulations would continue to apply.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” numerous invasive animal species are known to exist in King County and the city of Lake Forest Park and could be located on sites regulated by the source control amendments.

6. Energy and Natural Resources [\[help\]](#)

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project’s energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct energy needs and would not change existing energy codes and regulations.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts to the use of solar energy.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts to energy use, and therefore no energy conservation features are included.

7. Environmental Health [\[help\]](#)

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly cause any environmental health hazards. The purpose of the source control amendments is to reduce environmental hazards, so are anticipated to reduce any potential impacts.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” sites with contamination exist within the city of Lake Forest Park where the source control amendments would apply. According to the Washington Department of Ecology’s “What’s in My Neighborhood” database, several toxic cleanup sites are located within the city of Lake Forest Park.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” sites with hazardous chemicals/conditions exist within the city of Lake Forest Park and could be located on or near a site regulated by the source control amendments.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action that would not include the storage, use, or production of any toxic or hazardous chemicals, the amendments would apply to sites where toxic or hazardous chemicals might be stored, used, or produced. All sites would be required to store, use, and produce any toxic or hazardous chemicals, such as cleaning supplies, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, including the source control amendments.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts, including any need for special emergency services.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impact on the environment, so no measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards are proposed.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” various types of noise exist in the areas where the source control amendments would apply, including noise from traffic, operation of equipment, and more. These noise sources have no impact to the source control amendments.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts and would not create any noise.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any noise impacts, so no measures to reduce or control noise impacts are proposed.

8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[help\]](#)

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site” and would not have any impacts on the current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site” and would not have any impacts to working farmlands or forestlands.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly affect or be affected by the normal business operations of working farmland or forestland.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” various structures are located on parcels within the city of Lake Forest Park where the source control amendments would apply.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No, the source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly result in the demolition of any structures.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” with no specific zoning classification. Sites regulated by the source control amendments could be located in any of the zoning classifications within the city of Lake Forest Park.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site” and sites subject to the amendments could be located within any comprehensive plan designation.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site.” Sites regulated by the amendments and located in a shoreline master program designation, which are present in the city of Lake Forest Park, would need to comply with applicable shoreline regulations.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site,” portions of Lake Forest Park are classified as critical areas where sites regulated by the amendments are located. The Lake Forest Municipal Code designates the following as critical areas: erosion hazard areas, landslide hazard areas, seismic hazard areas, steep slope hazard areas, wetlands, streams, fish and wildlife conservation areas, critical aquifer recharge areas and sites regulated by the source control amendments may be located within these critical areas.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

Although the source control amendments are a non-project action that would not directly result in a completed project where people would reside or work, people would reside and work on sites where the source control amendments apply. The amount is unknown.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

The source control amendments are a non-project action and would not displace any people.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Since the source control amendments are a non-project action with no direct impacts, no measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts are proposed.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The source control amendments are a non-project action that is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

The source control amendments are a non-project action that will not impact agricultural or forest lands of long-term commercial significance, so no measures to reduce or control impacts are proposed.

9. Housing [\[help\]](#)

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that will not provide any units of housing.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

The source control amendments would not eliminate any housing units.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Since the source control amendments are a non-project action with no direct impacts, no measures to reduce or control housing impacts are proposed.

10. *Aesthetics* [\[help\]](#)

a. **What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?**

The source control amendments are a non-project action and do not directly involve the construction of any structures or change the height requirements of any structures or principal exterior building materials.

b. **What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any impacts to views.

c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any impacts to views or aesthetics.

11. *Light and Glare* [\[help\]](#)

a. **What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not produce any light or glare.

b. **Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts, so would not be a safety hazard or interfere with views.

c. **What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?**

Various off-site sources of light or glare exist throughout the city of Lake Forest Park, but none would impact the source control amendments.

d. **Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts. No measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts are proposed beyond existing development regulations.

12. *Recreation* [\[help\]](#)

a. **What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?**

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable "site." A variety of designated and informal recreational opportunities exist in the city of Lake Forest Park, within the vicinity of sites regulated by the source control amendments.

d. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not displace any existing recreational uses.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts to recreation; no measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation are proposed.

13. Historic and cultural preservation [\[help\]](#)

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site.” A variety of buildings, structures and sites within the city of Lake Forest Park are listed or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers and potentially on sites where the source control amendments apply.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable “site.” However, landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation exist within the city of Lake Forest Park and potentially on sites where the source control amendments apply. All sites would be required to comply with federal, state, and local rules related to cultural resources.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that will not have any direct impacts to historic and cultural resources.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

The source control amendments are a non-project action that will not have any direct impacts to cultural or historic resources, so no measures to avoid, minimize or compensate for any impact to resources are proposed.

14. Transportation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable "site." Access to the existing street system will vary by site.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?**

The source control amendments are a non-project action with no identifiable "site," but the city of Lake Forest Park is served by public transit providers including King County Metro and Sound Transit.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?**

None. The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not add or eliminate any parking.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not involve any roadway, bicycle, or pedestrian improvements.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.**

No, the source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any impacts to transportation facilities.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not generate any vehicular trips.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any impact on the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets on the area.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any impacts to transportation, so no additional measures to reduce or control transportation impacts are proposed.

15. Public Services [\[help\]](#)

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not result in an increased need for public services, emergency services, such as police and fire.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.**

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not have any direct impacts to public services, so no additional measures to reduce or control impacts on public services are proposed.

16. Utilities [\[help\]](#)

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:**

electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other _____

Sites where the source control amendments would apply would have electricity, water, refuse service, telephone, and septic or sewer systems available.

- i. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.**

No utilities or construction activity is proposed as part of the source control amendments.

C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 

Name of signee Andrew Silvia

Position and Agency/Organization Senior Project Manager, City of Lake Forest Park

Date Submitted: June 8, 2022

D. Supplemental sheet for nonproject actions [\[HELP\]](#)

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The purpose of the source control amendments is to implement the requirements of the NPDES Phase II Permit, which regulates discharge to water in the city of Lake Forest Park. The intent of the NPDES Phase II Permit and the source control amendments is to reduce and prevent discharge to water, so is likely to maintain or reduce discharge. The source control amendments are unlikely to have any impact on emissions to air, production, storage or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or the production of noise.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

No measures to avoid or reduce such increases are proposed beyond the measures included in the source control amendments themselves.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

There are numerous plants, animals, fish, and marine life within the city of Lake Forest Park, but the source control amendments are unlikely to result in activities that would cause a greater impact to these resources than might otherwise occur under the former code because the regulations protecting those resources are not changed. Reducing illicit discharge, if anything, would have a positive effect on plants, animals, fish, or marine life.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Existing regulations that protect and conserve plants, animals, fish, and marine life would apply to the sites that are regulated by the source control amendments, including the City's Shoreline and Critical Areas Code. No additional measures are proposed.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The source control amendments are a non-project action that would not impact energy or natural resources than might otherwise occur.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

Existing regulations that protect and conserve energy and natural resources would apply to sites regulated under the source control amendments. No additional measures are proposed.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The source control amendments are intended to protect the environment, including environmentally sensitive areas, and are unlikely to impact environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated as eligible or under study for governmental protection than might otherwise occur under the former code.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Existing regulations that protect such resources would apply to sites regulated by the source control amendments, but no additional measures beyond the source control amendments themselves are provided.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The source control amendments would not affect land and shoreline use.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

No measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are proposed.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The source control amendments are not anticipated to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The source control amendments are consistent with local, state, and federal law requirements for the protection of the environment. In fact, the entire purpose of the source control amendments is to bring Lake Forest Park's source control regulations into compliance with the NPDES Phase II Permit. Existing regulations related to the protection of the environment, including the City's Critical Areas Code, Shoreline Master Program, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and others, are not amended by the source control amendments.