

**CITY OF LAKE FOREST PARK
CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES
November 17, 2005**

Councilmembers present: Dwight Thompson, Mayor *pro tempore* (6:45 p.m.); Carolyn Armanini, Mary Jane Goss, Nate Herzog, Roger Olstad, Ed Sterner

Councilmember excused: Alan Kiest

Staff present: David R. Hutchinson, Mayor; Karen Haines, City Administrator; Michael Ruark, City Attorney; Sarah Phillips, Community and Government Affairs Program Manager; John Hawley, Finance Director; Stephen Bennett, Building and Planning Director; Susan Stine, City Clerk

Others present: 14 visitors

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Hutchinson called the special meeting to order at 6:06 p.m.

Hutchinson noted this special meeting would begin with a study session and then reconvene at 7:30 p.m. and continue with the regular agenda items.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Following discussion of the special meeting agenda, councilmembers agreed to proceed with the council study session and to consult with the city attorney regarding the addition of the November 17, 2005 finance committee report to the agenda.

Cmbr. Herzog moved to adopt the proposed special meeting agenda. **Armanini seconded.**

Cmbr. Armanini moved to amend the proposed agenda to add a discussion item after citizen comments regarding the compensation study. **Cmbr. Goss seconded. The motion to amend carried unanimously.**

The motion to adopt the special meeting agenda as amended carried unanimously.

Solid Waste Collection Contract/Update

Community and Government Affairs Program Manager Sarah Phillips discussed the current solid waste collection contract and the programs currently included. She described the pilot organics program and noted it continues today as part of the solid waste contract.

1 Phillips discussed the results of the survey of residents and outlined the collection services
2 proposed for inclusion in a new contract.

3
4 In response to a question from council, Phillips noted universal service means garbage service
5 is mandatory.

6
7 Armanini questioned items that were included in the base proposal and said she believed that
8 certain items should be identified as alternatives.

9
10 Sound Resources Management Group Representative Jeff Brown stated that the best possible
11 contract and prices could be negotiated by including additional items in the base bid.

12
13 Armanini suggested various incentives that could be offered in a new contract and different
14 approaches to encourage recycling.

15
16 Brown responded to questions from council on various services and options for solid waste
17 collection.

18 19 20 **Proposed 2006 User Fees**

21
22 Finance Director John Hawley discussed the current user fees and noted the proposed changes
23 to various fees. He reviewed information regarding the proposed changes and responded to
24 questions from councilmembers.

25
26 In response to questions from councilmembers, Planning and Building Director Steve Bennett
27 discussed impact fees and options for recovery of costs related to staff and consultant review.

28
29
30 Hutchinson exited the meeting at 6:55 p.m. Mayor *pro tempore* Dwight Thompson chaired the
31 remainder of meeting.

32
33 Cmr. Sterner exited at 7 p.m. due to a family obligation and informed his colleagues that he
34 would return at 9 p.m.

35
36 There being no objection, the study session portion of the special meeting was ended at 7:20
37 p.m., and a 10-minute recess was called.

38 39 40 **CALL TO ORDER**

41
42 Mayor *pro tempore* Thompson called the special meeting back to order at 7:30 p.m.

43 44 45 **FLAG SALUTE**

46
47 Cmr. Olstad led council in the pledge of allegiance.

48
49 Thompson noted that Mayor Hutchinson had left the meeting earlier and hopes to be back later
50 in the meeting.

1
2 **PUBLIC HEARING**
3 **Ordinance 940/Setting 2006 Property Tax Levy**

4
5 Mayor *pro tempore* Thompson opened the public hearing on Ordinance 940/Setting 2006
6 Property Tax Levy at 7:31 p.m.

7
8 There being no comments, the public hearing was closed at 7:32 p.m.

9
10
11 **PUBLIC HEARING**
12 **Ordinance 941/Adopting 2006 Budget—Mayor’s Proposed Budget**

13
14 Thompson opened the public hearing on Ordinance 941/Adopting 2006 Budget—Mayor’s
15 Proposed Budget at 7:33 p.m.

16
17 Karen True, 17171 Bothell Way NE, Director of Friends of Third Place Commons, urged council
18 to support their request for funds to continue their numerous programs, and she reviewed their
19 2005 accomplishments.

20
21 Teri Howatt, 5123 NE 187th Street, co-chair of the Board for Friends of Third Place Commons,
22 urged council to support their request as outlined in the Mayor’s 2006 budget and distributed
23 information to council for the record.

24
25 Kate Lunceford, 17171 Bothell Way NE, treasurer for Friends of Third Place Commons,
26 requested that council approve funding for Third Place Commons as proposed in the Mayor’s
27 budget.

28
29 Tom Killorin, 18812 51st Avenue NE, noted his support of Friends of Third Place Commons and
30 respectfully asked that council support the Mayor’s budget proposal.

31
32 Bob Lohmeyer, 4035 NE 110th Street, Seattle, Director of the Shoreline-Lake Forest Park Senior
33 Center, expressed his appreciation for the city’s continued support of the Senior Center. He
34 noted that the Center has developed a good working relationship with Friends of Third Place
35 Commons and offers various programs at the Commons. He said that the senior center would
36 continue to work hard to expand their services to seniors in the Shoreline and Lake Forest Park
37 communities.

38
39 Jane Hinton, 18315 1st Avenue NE, Shoreline, family support program manager with the Center
40 for Human Services, thanked the city for the funding provided to them for youth and family
41 programs. She noted that they have partnered with Friends of Third Place Commons in
42 providing a playtime in the Commons and urged council to continue to support these programs.

43
44 Florence Wright, 19207 40th Place NE, spoke on the proposed toddler park at Eagle Scout Park
45 and clarified the proposed plans to council.

46
47 Michelle LeMoine, 17744 28th Avenue NE, chair of the Parks and Recreation Commission, said
48 she agrees with the comments made by Florence Wright and asked for council support of this
49 project.

1 Ros Bird, 16061 37th Avenue NE, said that she is a resident of the city and represents as the
2 Shoreline Lake Forest Park Arts Council as its Director. She thanked the council for their
3 continued investment in the quality of life in our community. She urged council to support the
4 mayor's budget for both Friends of Third Place Commons and the Arts Council.

5
6 Letters from Environmental Quality Commissioners Julian Andersen and Mark Phillips
7 requesting funding for a proposed project addressing the diminishing urban forest were made a
8 part of the record.

9
10 There being no further comments, the public hearing on Ordinance 941/Adopting 2006
11 Budget—Mayor's Proposed Budget was continued at 7:48 p.m. to the December 1, 2005
12 special council meeting.

13 14 15 **MAYOR'S REPORT**

16
17 At the request of Thompson, City Administrator Karen Haines reported on the community forum
18 for development of the framework for the Town Center design guidelines.

19 20 21 **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

22
23 At the request of Thompson, City Attorney Mike Ruark responded to the question of amending
24 the agenda to include the November 17, 2005 finance committee report and noted that the
25 council's regular meetings in November and December were rescheduled due to the holidays.

26
27 **Herzog moved** to amend the meeting agenda and to add the November 17, 2005 finance
28 committee report to the consent calendar as item 2. **Thompson seconded. The motion**
29 **carried unanimously.**

30 31 32 33 **CONSENT CALENDAR**

34
35 **Herzog moved** to approve the consent calendar as follows:

- 36
37 1. October 27, 2005 Council Regular Meeting Minutes
38 2. November 17, 2005 finance committee report covering warrant nos. 48735 through 48802 in
39 the amount of \$142,672.26 drawn from the claims clearing fund and an automatic
40 withdrawal from the checking account in the amount of \$639.47 for monthly bank service
41 fees.

42
43 **Armanini seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

44 45 46 **DISCUSSION--COMPENSATION STUDY**

47
48 Armanini expressed her concern regarding the revised schedule for completion of the
49 compensation study and said that the council should be provided the data prior to adoption of
50 the 2006 budget.

1
2 In response to a question from Goss, Haines said that the preliminary information must be
3 reviewed internally before the study can be finalized and presented to the city council. She
4 explained that delays in responses to the salary survey had an impact on the timeline for
5 completion of the project.
6

7 Armanini noted that six weeks remain until the end of the year, and council meetings can be
8 scheduled on December 15, 22, 29, and 31, if necessary, if the council's work on the 2006
9 budget is not done.

10
11 Olstad said that he would be available for extra meetings if needed in order to adopt the 2006
12 budget.
13

14 Herzog noted that a breakdown in communication seems to have occurred, and he asked that
15 the council be included in the decision-making process regarding the completion of the
16 compensation study. He noted that he would be very cautious when finalizing and approving
17 the 2006 budget.
18

19 Goss requested a response from the administration regarding why the information from the
20 consultant is not being shared with council.
21

22
23 **FIRST READING CALENDAR**
24 **Resolution 940/Establish 2006 User Fees**
25

26 Resolution 940/Establish 2006 User Fees was advanced to second reading calendar with the
27 consent of the council.
28

29
30 **SECOND READING CALENDAR**
31 **Resolution 938/Establish 2006 Drainage Fee**
32

33 Following discussion, the council agreed to hold Resolution 938/Establish 2006 Drainage Fee
34 on the second reading calendar for the December 1, 2005 special council meeting.
35

36
37 **Resolution 941/Amending Fiscal Reserve Policies**
38

39 Herzog requested that Resolution 941/Amending Fiscal Reserve Policies be moved to the final
40 item on the second reading calendar.
41

42
43 **Ordinance 930/Repealing and Adding New Chapter 16.16, "Environmentally Sensitive**
44 **Areas"**
45

46 **Armanini moved** to amend Ordinance 930 as follows:
47

48 Page 19, lines 41-46:

49 ~~_____ a. _____ The removal of the following vegetation with hand labor and light~~
50 ~~equipment:~~

- 1 i. Invasive and noxious weeds as listed by state and county agencies;
- 2 ~~ii. English Ivy (*Hedera helix*);~~
- 3 ~~iii. Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus discolor*, *R. procerus*); and~~
- 4 ~~iv. Evergreen blackberry (*Rubus laciniatus*);~~

5
6
7 Page 18, line 32: **16.16.220 Exemptions.**

8
9 F. The removal of the following vegetation with hand labor and light
10 equipment:

- 11 i. Invasive and noxious weeds as listed by state and county agencies;
- 12 ii. English Ivy (*Hedera helix*);
- 13 iii. Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus discolor*, *R. procerus*); and
- 14 iv. Evergreen blackberry (*Rubus laciniatus*);

15
16 **Goss seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

17
18
19 Armanini expressed her concern that projects to enhance buffers require sensitive area permits
20 and proposed that the sensitive areas permit fee be waived at the discretion of the Planning and
21 Building Director.

22
23 At the request of Bennett, council agreed that this suggestion would be held over to the
24 December 1 meeting so that staff has the opportunity to review it.

25
26
27 **Armanini moved** to amend Ordinance 930 as follows:

28
29 Page 31, line 16: “..6. Any existing barriers to fish passage are removed and no such
30 barriers are added in the re-development.”

31
32 **Goss seconded.**

33
34 After further discussion, **Herzog moved** to table this amendment to the December 1, 2005
35 council special meeting. **Thompson seconded. The motion to table carried unanimously.**

36
37
38 **Goss moved** to amend Ordinance 930 as follows:

39
40 Page 4, line 20: “...in section 16.16.020A, all work shall be performed...”

41
42 **Armanini seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

43
44
45 **Goss moved** to amend Ordinance 930 as follows:

46
47 Page 5, line 1: “Best management practices”: Regularly accepted principles, Ppractices or
48 systems of practices and management measures meant for sensitive areas that are accepted
49 recommended by a qualified professional...”

1
2 **Armanini seconded.**

3
4 After a brief explanation by Goss, Armanini requested that the proposed amendments be
5 provided in writing to council and that this amendment be tabled to the December 1, 2005
6 special council meeting.

7
8
9 **Goss moved** to amend Ordinance 930 as follows:

10
11 Page 7, lines 17-36:

12
13 ~~S.O. — “Qualified Professional” means a person with experience and training in the~~
14 ~~pertinent scientific discipline, and who is a qualified scientific expert with expertise appropriate~~
15 ~~for the relevant sensitive area subject according to WAC 365-195-905(4). A qualified~~
16 ~~professional must also have obtained a B.S. or B.A. or equivalent degree in biology,~~
17 ~~engineering, environmental studies, fisheries, geomorphology, or related field, with at least three~~
18 ~~years experience in the related profession, such as botany, wetlands, fisheries, wildlife, soils,~~
19 ~~ecology, and similar areas of specialization, provided that a qualified professional for:~~

20
21 ~~1. habitat must have a degree in biology and professional experience related to the~~
22 ~~subject specifics.~~

23
24 ~~2. wetlands must be a certified Professional Wetland Scientist or have, at a~~
25 ~~minimum: (1) a Bachelor’s degree in hydrology, soil science, biology botany, ecology, or related~~
26 ~~field; and (2) at least two years of full-time work experience as wetlands professional, including~~
27 ~~experience in delineating wetlands using the state or federal manuals, preparing wetland~~
28 ~~reports, conducting function assessments, and developing and implementing mitigation plans.~~

29
30 ~~3. a geological hazard must be a professional engineer or geologist, licensed in the~~
31 ~~state of Washington.~~

32
33 ~~4. critical aquifer recharge areas must be a hydrogeologist, geologist, engineer, or~~
34 ~~other scientist with experience in preparing hydrogeologic assessments.~~

35
36 O. “Qualified Professional” means a person who has attained a degree from an
37 accredited college or university in the subject matter necessary to evaluate the critical area in
38 question (e.g. biology, ecology, or horticulture/arboriculture for wetlands, streams and wildlife
39 habitat and significant vegetation, geology and/or civil engineering for geologic hazards and
40 aquifer recharge areas), and/or who is professionally trained and/or certified or licensed by the
41 State of Washington to practice in the scientific disciplines necessary to identify, evaluate,
42 manage and mitigate impacts to the critical disciplines necessary to identify, evaluate, manage
43 and mitigate impacts to the critical area in question and who has at least two years of
44 experience in the relevant discipline.”

45
46 **Armanini seconded.**

47
48 Armanini noted she would like to table this amendment also to the December 1, 2005 special
49 council meeting to give council time to review.

1 **Goss moved** to amend Ordinance 930 as follows:

2
3 Page 8, line 11: "... wetlands, ~~critical aquifer recharge areas~~ wellhead protection areas,
4 wildlife habitat conservation areas and flood hazard areas."

5
6 **Armanini seconded. The motion to amend carried unanimously.**
7

8
9 **Goss moved** to amend Ordinance 930 as follows:

10
11 Page 8, line 5:

12 ~~"WS. "Seismic hazard areas" means areas underlain by low strength fill and floodplain~~
13 ~~deposits with soil and groundwater conditions that are more susceptible to~~
14 ~~seismic hazards than other."~~

15
16 S. "Seismic hazard areas" means areas that, due to a combination of soils and
17 ground water conditions, are subject to risk of ground shaking, subsidence or
18 liquefaction of soils during earthquakes. These areas are typically underlain by
19 soft or loose saturated soils (such as alluvium), have a shallow ground water
20 table and are typically located on the floors of river valleys."
21

22 **Thompson seconded.**
23

24 With the consent of the council, this amendment will be tabled to the December 1, 1005 special
25 council meeting for further review by council.

26
27 Herzog requested that the proposed ordinance be reviewed for other references to critical
28 aquifer recharge areas that should be changed to wellhead protection areas.

29
30 In response to a question from Herzog, Bennett discussed stream types, buffer enhancements
31 and the hearing examiner process.

32
33
34 A five-minute recess was taken at 9:32 p.m.

35
36 The meeting was resumed at 9:47 p.m.
37
38

39 **Ordinance 932/Adopting the "2005 Lake Forest Park Comprehensive Plan"**

40
41 **Sterner moved** to amend Ordinance 932 as follows:

42
43 (Add map and text section discussion to sensitive areas and the additional protection added by
44 conservation designations.)

45
46 "LAND USE,

47
48 **Development Options and Opportunities: Page 31**
49

1 The City recognizes the need to provide a variety of housing types and densities to address the
2 different needs of its citizens and the City's role in reducing the pressure to move the region's
3 urban growth boundary eastward. The City also recognizes that the Growth Management Act
4 has 13 competing goals (RCW 36.70A.020) and that the local governments are compelled to
5 achieve a balancing of those goals in their comprehensive plans and development regulations.
6

7 Throughout the preparation of this Comprehensive Plan Update, the City considered various
8 alternatives to facilitate appropriate infill development. The City has adopted a Future Land Use
9 Map that designates about 31 percent of the residentially classified land as Conservation
10 Residential (two to three dwelling units per acre). Map 3a shows that these Conservation
11 Residential areas correlate positively with the city's extensive sensitive areas, which include:
12

- 13 • Two significant sub-basins that contribute surface waters to two of the largest streams within
14 Lake Forest Park, Lyon and McAleer Creeks which are home to various species of fish,
15 including salmon and trout;
- 16
- 17 • Approximately 50 acres of wetlands that are located at the bases of steep slopes, within
18 natural depressions or within riparian corridors along streams;
- 19
- 20 • Seismic hazard areas, generally located near stream corridors, large wetland areas and
21 floodplains; and
- 22
- 23 • Urban forests which provide wildlife habitat and tree canopy coverage which is one of the
24 most useful benchmarks of urban environmental quality.
25

26 The City has reviewed its sensitive areas regulations and adopted an updated provisions based
27 upon a review of Best Available Science, however, it has been determined that sensitive areas
28 regulations alone cannot provide comprehensive protection to the city's sensitive areas.
29

30 At the time of the adoption of this plan update, the City is nearing the adoption of a Design
31 Guideline Framework which will stimulate urban mixed use redevelopment in the Town Center
32 designation and provide new dwelling unit capacity in an amount that exceeds its 20-year
33 household growth target. With the adoption of the Framework, it will be possible to redevelop
34 the 18-acre zone with a mix of residential and commercial structures having up to four wood
35 frame floors over one concrete floor."
36

37 **Thompson seconded.**
38

39 In response to a suggestion from Herzog, Bennett noted that the 13 competing goals of the
40 Growth Management Act are listed with an analysis of how these goals are met as suggested in
41 a previous discussion.
42

43 After further discussion, **Herzog moved** to amend the amendment as follows:
44

45 "...Growth Management Act has 13 competing goals as listed or described in Appendix.
46

47 **Goss seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**
48

49
50 **The motion to approve the amendment as amended carried unanimously.**

1
2 **Sterner moved** to amend Ordinance 932 as follows:

3
4 (Add definition of Essential Public Facilities.)

5
6 **“CAPITAL FACILITIES AND SITING OF ESSENTIAL PUBLIC FACILITIES**

7
8 **CRITERIA FOR SITING ESSENTIAL PUBLIC FACILITIES:** Page 97

9
10 *Essential public facilities (EPFs) include those facilities that are typically difficult to site, such as*
11 *airports, state education facilities and state or regional transportation facilities as defined in*
12 *RCW 47.06.1540, state and local correctional facilities, solid waste handling facilities, and in-*
13 *patient facilities including substance abuse facilities, mental health facilities, group homes, and*
14 *secure community transition facilities as defined in RCW 71.09.020.*

15
16 The Growth Management Act and the King County Countywide Planning Policies require that
17 each city or county establish a process for identifying and siting all essential public facilities,
18 including federal, state, regional or local proposals. The policies state that the Growth
19 Management Planning Council shall establish a process by which all jurisdictions shall
20 cooperatively site public capital facilities of a countywide or statewide nature. The process is to
21 include the following: a definition of these facilities, an inventory of existing and future facilities,
22 economic and other incentives to jurisdictions receiving facilities, a public involvement
23 consideration of alternatives to the facility, including decentralization, demand management and
24 other strategies.”

25
26 **Thompson seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

27
28
29 **Herzog moved** to amend Ordinance 932 as follows:

30
31 (Revise Policy 3.3 to establish a goal of 2006 to revise capital facilities plan including a six-year
32 timeframe, projected funding capacities and sources of public money for such purposes.)

33
34 **“CAPITAL FACILITIES AND SITING OR ESSENTIAL PUBLIC FACILITIES**

35
36 **CAPITAL FACILITIES GOALS AND POLICIES** Page 100

37
38 **GOAL CF 3 Capital Facilities and Siting Essential Public Facilities: Policy Priorities**

39
40 To ensure that the continued development and implementation of the Capital Facilities Plan
41 reflects the policy priorities of Lake Forest Park.

42
43 Pol CF 3.1 High priority of funding shall be accorded to projects that are consistent with the
44 adopted goals and policies of the adopted comprehensive plan.

45
46 Pol CF 3.2 Capital projects that are not included in the six-year Capital Facilities Plan and
47 which are potentially inconsistent with the comprehensive plan shall be evaluated
48 by means of the comprehensive planning process prior to their inclusion into the
49 City’s annual budget. See Table 27 for the current Capital Facilities Plan.
50

- 1 Pol CF 3.3 Revise the Capital Facilities Plan to include a six-year time frame, projected
2 funding capacities and sources of public money for such purposes by 2006 and
3 thereafter it may be updated annually in conjunction with the City budget process
4 to include new projects and facilities.
5
6 Pol CF 3.4 Appropriate City departments shall review changes to the Capital Facilities Plan.
7
8 Pol CF 3.5 Efforts should be made to get homes currently using septic tanks connected to
9 the sewer system to avoid possible problems related to health and water quality.
10 The Lake Forest Park Sewer Comprehensive Plan should be used as a guide for
11 extension to unsewered areas.
12
13 Pol CF 3.6 The City shall research opportunities and establish procedures for obtaining
14 mitigation fees for those projects within or adjacent to the city that are determined
15 to have significant adverse environmental impacts on the city.”
16

17 **Sterner seconded.**
18
19

20 **Armanini moved** to amend the amendment at Pol CF 3.3 as follows:
21

22 “...for such purposes by in 2006 and”
23

24 **Thompson seconded. The motion to amend carried unanimously.**
25
26

27 **The motion to adopt the amendment as amended carried unanimously.**
28
29

30 With the consent of the council, Ordinance 932 will be held over to the December 1, 2005
31 special council meeting and should continue to be shown on the final action calendar.
32
33

34 **Ordinance 938/Extending Cable Franchise Agreement** 35

36 **Herzog moved** to hold Ordinance 938/Extending Cable Franchise Agreement on the December
37 1, 2005 special meeting agenda second reading calendar and to place on final action calendar
38 also. **Olstad seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**
39
40

41 **Ordinance 939/Adopting 2006 Sewer Rates** 42

43 With the consent of the council, Ordinance 939 was advanced to final action calendar.
44
45

46 **Ordinance 940/Setting 2006 Property Tax Levy** 47

48 With the consent of the council, Ordinance 940/Setting 2006 Property Tax Levy was advanced
49 to final action calendar.
50

1
2 **Ordinance 941/Adopting 2006 Budget**

3
4 Armanini noted that the Budget Committee would meet on Tuesday, November 22, 2005 at 6
5 p.m. to contemplate amendments to the Mayor's proposed budget. All councilmembers are
6 welcome to attend and are requested to have any proposed amendments to the budget
7 committee members prior to the meeting.

8
9 With the consent of the council, Ordinance 941/Adopting 2006 Budget was held on the second
10 reading calendar for the December 1, 2005 special council meeting.

11
12
13 **Resolution 940/Establish 2006 User Fees**

14
15 **Herzog moved** to hold Resolution 940/Establish 2006 User Fees on the second reading
16 calendar for the December 1, 2005 special council meeting. **Olstad seconded. The motion**
17 **carried unanimously.**

18
19
20 **Resolution 941/Amending Fiscal Reserve Policies**

21
22 Herzog noted the memorandum regarding the November 16 finance committee meeting
23 including proposed amendments to Attachment A of Resolution 941. He noted that the
24 committee has discussed the Mayor's proposed changes to the reserve policies and the
25 changes to city hall debt payment.

26
27 Herzog noted the finance committee had expressed some concerns regarding the contingency
28 reserve fund, and he discussed various strategies for the general fund and REET fund.

29
30 Armanini requested to amend page 2 of Attachment A, 5. Special Reserve Accounts: "...(~~i.e.~~ e.g.
31 City facilities ~~Hall project~~, park equipment, etc.).

32
33 Sterner requested to amend page 2 of Attachment A, 4. Equipment Reserves and 5. Special
34 Reserve Accounts: "...(~~i.e.~~ e.g. ...)"

35
36 **Herzog moved** to substitute Attachment A with amendments proposed by the finance
37 committee and the amendments requested by Armanini and Sterner as the new Attachment A
38 for Resolution 941. **Thompson seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

39
40 **Herzog moved** to amend Attachment A:

41
42 "2. Contingency Reserve Fund:...In accordance with State law, At at no time shall the
43 Contingency Reserve Fund ~~shall not~~ exceed thirty-seven and one-half cents per thousand
44 dollars of assessed valuation of property within the City...."

45
46 **Thompson seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

1 **Goss moved** to amend Resolution 941 Attachment A as follows:

2
3 “2. Contingency Reserve Fund: ...annually increased by inflation or the annual accrued
4 interest, whichever is greater to retain the Reserve Fund buying power.”

5
6 **Armanini seconded.**

7
8 Following discussion, **the motion to amend failed 2-4 (Aye—Goss, Armanini; Nay—**
9 **Thompson, Herzog, Sterner, Olstad.**

10
11
12 Thompson requested that Resolution 941 be held on second reading for the December 1, 2005
13 meeting to allow Cmbr. Kiest to review the policies.

14
15 Following discussion, **Herzog moved** to adopt Resolution 941/Amending Fiscal Reserve
16 Policies. **Goss seconded.**

17
18 Thompson stated his objection again to taking action without Cmbr. Kiest being present.

19
20 **The motion carried 5-1 (Aye—Armanini, Goss, Sterner, Herzog, Olstad; Nay--Thompson).**

21
22
23 **FINAL ACTION CALENDAR**

24 **Ordinance 939/Adopting 2006 Sewer Rates**

25
26 **Sterner moved** to adopt Ordinance 939/Adopting 2006 Sewer Rates. **Thompson seconded.**
27 **The motion carried unanimously.**

28
29
30 **Ordinance 940/Setting 2006 Property Tax Levy**

31
32 **Herzog moved** to adopt Ordinance 940/Setting 2006 Property Tax Levy. **Thompson**
33 **seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

34
35
36 **NEW BUSINESS**

37 **Reports—Council**

38
39 Herzog noted the Suburban Cities Association Public Issues Committee’s final meeting of the
40 year November 30, 2005 would be his final meeting at the council’s representative to that body.
41 He noted that the new council would need to select a representative for the committee.

42
43
44 Goss reported on the SeaShore Transportation Forum meeting and discussion of Sound Transit
45 and various transportation issues. She stated she had requested that Sound Transit add an
46 extra run on 522 due to increased ridership.

47
48 Sterner said that the recent Association of Washington Cities meeting included discussion of
49 various issues including streamlined sales tax and growth management.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Draft 2006 Legislative Agenda

There was no objection to Thompson’s suggestion to discuss the draft 2006 legislative agenda as the first item at the December 1, 2005 special study session meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no objection, the meeting was adjourned at 10:50 p.m.

Susan Stine, City Clerk

David R. Hutchinson, Mayor

Dwight A. Thompson, Mayor *pro tempore*