

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

**CITY OF LAKE FOREST PARK**  
**City Council**  
**Regular Meeting**  
**August 24, 2000**

**DRAFT**

**Councilmembers present:** Alan Kiest, Carolyn Armanini, Dwight Thompson, Mary Jane Goss, Nate Herzog, Roger Olstad, Ed Sterner

**Staff present:** David Hutchinson, Mayor; Doug Jacobson, City Administrator; Michael Ruark, City Attorney; Dennis Peterson, Police Chief; Kristen Anderson, Municipal Court Judge *pro tempore*; John Hawley, Finance Director; Ty Peterson, City Planner; Sarah Phillips, Community and Government Affairs; Frank Zenk, Director of Public Services; Linda Page, Secretary to Police Chief; Gayle George-Sackett, Human Resources; Susan Stine, City Clerk

**Others present:** 45 visitors

The regular meeting was preceded by an open house at 6:30 p.m. with Arai-Jackson Architects regarding city hall programming and space needs analysis and a reception at 7 p.m. to welcome the new police chief Dennis Peterson.

**CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Hutchinson called the council regular meeting to order at 7:35 p.m.

**OATH OF OFFICE**

Hutchinson said that Dennis Peterson will be formally sworn in as the city's new police chief, and he welcomed family members and guests from the City of Marysville who were present for the ceremony.

The oath of office was administered to Police Chief Dennis Peterson by Municipal Court Judge *pro tempore* Kristen Anderson.

Peterson thanked his family and friends for their support. He said he looks forward to serving the city of Lake Forest Park as police chief and commended the professionalism and responsiveness of the police department. He noted that he continues to be impressed by the city and its citizens as he gets acquainted in the community.

1 **PUBLIC HEARING**

2 **Resolution 597/Adopting Revised Six-Year Street Plan**

3  
4 Hutchinson opened the public hearing on Resolution 597/Adopting Revised Six-Year Street Plan  
5 at 7:40 p.m.

6  
7 There being no comments, the public hearing was closed at 7:41 p.m.  
8  
9

10 **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

11 **City Hall Programming and Space Needs Analysis**

12  
13 Toni Potter, 19548 47<sup>th</sup> Ave. NE, urged the city and the architect to design and build an energy-  
14 efficient city hall. She noted that the city must look at the long-term maintenance costs rather  
15 than just the construction costs for the new city hall.  
16

17  
18 Ron Ricker, 18987 Forest Park Dr. NE, asked what the city intends to do with the present  
19 building at the site for the new city hall.  
20

21 City Administrator Doug Jacobson said that the present proposed design and cost estimates  
22 indicate that it may be easier and cheaper to take down the existing building rather than to  
23 remodel and add to the structure.  
24  
25

26 **CONFIRMATION CALENDAR/Final Confirmation**

27 **Jodi Waltier, Parks and Recreation Commission, Position 4, term ending 3/31/2002**

28  
29 *Cmbr. Armanini moved* to confirm the appointment of Jodi Waltier to the Parks and Recreation  
30 Commission, Position 4, for the term ending March 31, 2002. *Cmbr. Thompson seconded. The*  
31 *motion carried unanimously.*  
32  
33

34 **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

35  
36 Thompson noted the presence of several commission and committee chairs and requested that  
37 their work plan presentations be taken out of order following the consent calendar.  
38

39 Armanini noted that Bruce Ellison of Arai/Jackson is in attendance and requested that second  
40 reading calendar item 7, Approve Schematic Design, Authorize Architects to Proceed with City  
41 Hall Design Development, be taken as the first item of the second reading calendar.  
42

43 Armanini also requested that the first three items of the second reading calendar—1) Resolution  
44 593/Adopting Lyon Creek Waterfront Property Master Plan; 2) Resolution 597/Adopting  
45 Revised Six-Year Street Improvement Plan; and 3) Resolution 599/Establishing a Public Art  
46 Program for City-Owned Facilities and Spaces, Establishing a Public Arts Advisory Committee  
47 be taken after items 4) Resolution 600/Placing Parks and Open Space Bond on Ballot—

1 Retention of Interim City Hall Property for Community Center; 5) Resolution 601/Placing Parks  
2 and Open Space Bond on Ballot—Parks and Open Space Acquisition and Development; 6)  
3 Resolution 603/Placing an Operation and Maintenance Levy on Ballot—Interim City Hall  
4 Property for Community Center, provided that it is not after 11 p.m.

5  
6 **Thompson moved** adoption of the agenda with the suggested amendments. **Olstad seconded.**  
7 **The motion carried unanimously.**

8  
9  
10 **Thompson moved** that first reading calendar item 2) Resolution 603/Placing an Operation and  
11 Maintenance Levy on Ballot—Interim City Hall Property for Community Center be accepted and  
12 advanced to second reading for discussion on this agenda.

13  
14 **Armanini seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

15  
16  
17 **CONSENT CALENDAR**

18  
19 **Thompson moved** to pull item 6) ZTA 00-01Accept Planning Commission Recommendation for  
20 Denial of Request for Multi-Family Senior Housing in Single-Family Zones by Conditional Use  
21 from the consent calendar.

22  
23 **Armanini seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

24  
25  
26 There was no objection to Armanini’s request to pull item 1) July 13, 2000 Council Regular  
27 Meeting Minutes from the consent calendar.

28  
29 **Thompson moved** adoption of the amended consent calendar as follows:

- 30  
31 1) July 27, 2000 council regular meeting minutes;  
32 2) August 10, 2000 council regular meeting minutes;  
33 3) August 24, 2000 finance committee report covering warrants 37868 through 37943 in the  
34 amount of \$114,296.69 drawn from the Claims Clearing Fund and warrants 19508  
35 through 19572 in the amount of \$104,303.20 drawn from the Payroll Clearing Fund.

36  
37 **Armanini seconded. The motion carried unanimously.**

38  
39  
40 **July 13, 2000 Council Regular Meeting Minutes**

41  
42 Armanini noted that the July 13, 2000 council regular meeting minutes, Page 12, lines 9 and 10  
43 reflect the outcome of a vote on Ordinance 788 that is different from her recollection. She said  
44 that she recalls that the outcome of the vote was not announced, and there was some confusion  
45 before the council agreed to consider it again at its July 27, 2000 regular meeting.

1 Armanini moved to amend the July 13, 2000 council regular meeting minutes as follows:

2  
3 Page 12, lines 9-14: “~~The motion did not carry 1-6 (Aye — Kiest; Nay — Thompson, Armanini,~~  
4 ~~Goss, Herzog, Sterner, Olstad.)~~”

5  
6 There was no objection to Thompson’s request for reconsideration of Ordinance 788 at the next  
7 council meeting.

8  
9 Before the vote on the motion was announced, it was the consensus of the council that further  
10 discussion and action on this matter would be postponed until their next meeting.”

11  
12 Olstad seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

13  
14  
15 Thompson moved adoption of July 13, 2000 council regular meeting minutes as amended.  
16 Armanini seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

17  
18  
19 **ZTA 00-01/Accept Planning Commission Recommendation for Denial of Request for**  
20 **Multi-Family Senior Housing in Single-Family Zones by Conditional Use**

21  
22 Emily Lavin, 22930 106<sup>th</sup> Ave W, Edmonds, said she is here to speak regarding the application  
23 of Don Udhus for a zoning code text amendment to allow multi-family senior housing in single-  
24 family zones by conditional use.

25  
26 Lavin said the city has no criteria for review of this application, and therefore, it was impossible  
27 for the applicant to know what to address in the application.

28  
29 She strongly urged the council to keep the issue of senior housing alive by following through  
30 with implementation of a plan to address this need.

31  
32 In response to a question from Cmbr. Sterner, Lavin said she would like to see a zoning code  
33 amendment to allow such options for senior housing and would like the city to be receptive to  
34 ideas to address senior housing needs.

35  
36 Thompson moved to accept the recommendation of the Planning Commission and to deny the  
37 request for an amendment to the zoning code to allow multi-housing for seniors in single-family  
38 zones by conditional use.

39  
40 Armanini seconded.

41  
42 Sterner said he supports the motion; however, he encouraged staff to do more research on this  
43 issue and to pursue development of a process for responding to such proposals.

44  
45 Armanini concurred and noted that this is addressed in the commission’s findings and  
46 conclusions.

1 Olstad said he agreed with Sterner and Armanini and said he would like to see this be a starting  
2 point for further study of this issue.

3  
4 Kiest said the commission's record reflects a commitment to review this issue, and he said it  
5 would be appropriate to be part of the comprehensive plan update process.

6  
7 Goss expressed her disappointment that this cannot be addressed currently and said that criteria  
8 should be established quickly so that housing can be provided for seniors.

9  
10 **The motion to accept the recommendation of the Planning Commission and to deny the**  
11 **request for an amendment to the zoning code to allow multi-housing for seniors in single-**  
12 **family zones by conditional use carried unanimously.**

13  
14  
15 **REPORTS/New Business/Reports/Work Plan Presentations**  
16 **--Environmental Quality Commission**

17  
18 Environmental Quality Commission chair Dave Peterson said that nothing will change how the  
19 city does business more than the salmon issue and the requirements of the Endangered Species  
20 Act. He said the commission would like to help facilitate the application of the requirements.

21  
22 He noted that the commission supports the work of Streamkeepers and the urban forestry  
23 committee.

24  
25 In response to a question from Kiest, Peterson said the commission would be a resource for  
26 information and would be willing to provide a report or analysis of the information for staff use  
27 in responding to applications.

28  
29  
30 **Human Services Commission**

31  
32 Human Services Commission vice-chair Carolyn Riley-Payne said the commission's goals are  
33 related to supporting the needs of youth, seniors and families and responding to housing and  
34 transportation needs for citizens.

35  
36 In response to a question from Kiest, Riley-Payne said the commission would appreciate the  
37 council's help in developing a dialog with the Shoreline School District regarding the "It's about  
38 Time for Kids" assessment program.

39  
40 Kiest also expressed his approval of the city's work regarding domestic violence.

41  
42 In response to a question from Olstad, Riley-Payne said the commission chose to identify all of  
43 its goals as high priority at this time.

1 **Parks and Recreation Commission**

2  
3 Parks and Recreation Commission chair Mamie Bolender expressed her appreciation of the  
4 council's confirmation of the appointment of Jodi Waltier to the commission. She also said that  
5 staff member Tema Nesoff provides a wonderful link to the city.

6  
7 Bolender reviewed the commission's objectives as they relate to its mission. She discussed  
8 various activities of the commission related to its stated objectives.

9  
10 She noted that the commission looks forward to council action on the resolution proposed by the  
11 commission regarding the establishment of a public art program.

12  
13 Kiest asked the commission to provide technical assistance regarding improvements for city  
14 parks if voters approve the proposed bond measure.

15  
16  
17 **Web Team**

18  
19 Web team chair Dennis Barnes said the team continues to broaden and deepen the website  
20 content and specifically noted the plan to post board and commission minutes. He noted that the  
21 team would like to develop additional site volunteers and understands the level of training  
22 needed for staff.

23  
24 Barnes said he envisions the website being a valuable tool in the comprehensive plan update  
25 process.

26  
27 Barnes reported that a section is being planned for the website related to the history of Lake  
28 Forest Park.

29  
30 Armanini expressed her appreciation of the development of a history section and noted the work  
31 of Beverley Smith and Diane Yates on the historic homes inventory and walking tour.

32  
33 Kiest thanked Barnes for his work related to the establishment of the domain name for the city's  
34 website.

35  
36  
37  
38 **Economic Development Committee**

39  
40 Economic Development Committee chair Jeff Weissman said the committee is not requesting  
41 any funding in the 2001 budget.

42  
43 He said the committee will review the comprehensive plan and will work with the Planning  
44 Commission in the development of business-related sections, and he noted the committee's  
45 interest in developing more information regarding home businesses.

1 Weissman said the committee plans to review the implementation of the new sign code  
2 amendments.

3  
4  
5 A five-minute recess was called for at 8:37 p.m.

6  
7 The meeting was resumed at 8:43 p.m.

8  
9  
10 **SECOND READING CALENDAR**

11 **Approve Schematic Design, Authorize Architects to Proceed with City Hall Design**  
12 **Development**

13  
14 Bruce Ellison of Arai/Jackson said the schematic design represents six weeks of work by their  
15 firm and noted that tonight was the third open house and public comment opportunity regarding  
16 the plans.

17  
18 He said the plans have been reviewed and analyzed, and his firm and the construction manager  
19 agree that the present structure should be demolished and a new facility constructed, based on  
20 their analysis.

21  
22 Ellison said current cost estimates are approximately \$2.15 million, and he said they are  
23 confident that the budget of \$2.1 million can be achieved. Ellison said he would like council  
24 approval of the schematic design and authorization to further develop the design.

25  
26 In response to a question from Armanini, Ellison said the design was intended to partially  
27 balance the footprint on the site, and the staff has had an opportunity to comment on the design.

28  
29 Jacobson said that the reception area and planning and building were placed on the first floor  
30 because of the number of visitors. He said some aspects of the design are not ideal; however,  
31 there are some limitations due to the site.

32  
33 In response to a question from Kiest, Jacobson said specifics regarding audio-visual equipment  
34 in the council chambers will be addressed in a later phase of design development.

35  
36 In response to questions from Kiest, Ellison discussed design issues related to glazed areas and  
37 compatibility with the Towne Centre setting. Kiest noted that he believes that the drawings  
38 make the proposed structure appear massive.

39  
40 In response to a question from Olstad, Ellison confirmed that it is less expensive to demolish the  
41 current structure and construct a new building on the site.

42  
43 In response to questions from Thompson, Ellison noted that the budget limits the window  
44 options and discussed planning for growth areas.

45  
46 In response to a question from Goss, Ellison said additional digging for basement storage might  
47 not be cost effective and noted the tender balance between design and budget. In response to a

1 request from Thompson, Ellison said he can provide a cost estimate regarding additional  
2 excavation.

3  
4  
5 In response to a question from Goss, Ellison said the schematic design represents an early stage  
6 of the design process, and more detail occurs during the design development stage.

7  
8 Sterner said he approves of the schematic design and believes the architect is heading in the right  
9 direction. Jacobson noted that the design is fairly fluid during the next two months.

10  
11 Goss said it is important to inform the public that the concept has changed. Jacobson supported  
12 the idea of providing information to citizens.

13  
14 Armanini said she is comfortable taking action on the schematic design and noted that this would  
15 be a bigger concern if the budget increased. She agreed that it is important to keep citizens  
16 informed.

17  
18 Ellison said he can make information available for posting on the city's website.

19  
20 Thompson noted that the construction manager is providing cost estimates, and he is very  
21 comfortable moving ahead with this stage.

22  
23  
24 **Armanini moved** acceptance of the schematic design proposed by Arai/Jackson in their submittal  
25 dated August 17, 2000 and to authorize the architects to proceed with the next phase of the  
26 project design development.

27  
28 **Olstad seconded. The motion carried 6-1 (Aye—Olstad, Herzog, Armanini, Kiest, Thompson,**  
29 **Sterner; Nay—Goss).**

30  
31  
32 **Resolution 600/Placing Parks and Open Space Bond on Ballot—Retention of Interim City  
33 Hall Property for Community Center**

34 **Resolution 601/Placing Parks and Open Space Bond on Ballot—Parks and Open Space  
35 Acquisition and Development**

36 **Resolution 603a/Placing an Operation and Maintenance Levy on Ballot—Interim City Hall  
37 Property for Community Center**

38 **Resolution 603b/Placing a Levy Lid Lift on Ballot—Interim City Hall Property for  
39 Community Center**

40  
41 Janice Schaefer, 16738 37<sup>th</sup> Ave. NE, commended Community and Government Affairs  
42 Coordinator Sarah Phillips for the information she has prepared to inform citizens regarding the  
43 city hall process. She noted that the same level of communication for citizens regarding the  
44 bond measure is required.

45  
46 Schaefer said it is wrong to single out one proposed city park for an operations and maintenance  
47 levy. She said this represents an additional hurdle for the interim city hall community center

1 proposal. She said it will be a very strong signal for the city to find the money for operation and  
2 maintenance if the voters approve the bond measure for the community center. She said the levy  
3 for operation and maintenance should be part of the bond if the council believes it is so  
4 significant.

5  
6 Schaefer said she would have difficulty as a taxpayer to vote for bond measures totaling \$3.5  
7 million without knowing the facilities and properties earmarked for acquisition and development.  
8 Schaefer said she was at a loss as to how to comment since no information is available.

9  
10 In response to information provided by Herzog, Schaefer said this information appears to be  
11 similar to the information in the local government committee report.

12  
13 In response to a question from Schaefer, Armanini discussed the council's fiduciary  
14 responsibilities related to purchase of property. Schaefer asked the council to consider to what  
15 extent it intends to communicate with voters.

16  
17  
18 Finance Director John Hawley discussed the intent of Resolution 600/Placing Parks and Open  
19 Space Bond on Ballot—Retention of Interim City Hall Property for Community Center and  
20 Resolution 601/Placing Parks and Open Space Bond on Ballot—Parks and Open Space  
21 Acquisition and Development. Hawley noted the principal amounts of the proposed bonds  
22 reflect financing charges for issuing the bonds. The proposed proposition numbers were noted.

23  
24 Hawley noted that council requested that a resolution be prepared to present an operation and  
25 maintenance levy to the voters for use of the interim city hall property as a community center.  
26 Hawley said he learned from Mr. Voorhees that such a levy must be renewed by the voters each  
27 year. Because the council had identified a five-year period for such a levy, Mr. Voorhees has  
28 also prepared a second version of the resolution using the concept of a levy lid lift which would  
29 request voter approval of the increase for five years. Two versions of the resolution, numbered  
30 603A and 603B, are presented for council discussion.

31  
32 Lee Voorhees of Foster Pepper & Shefelman discussed the levy lid law and noted that council's  
33 interest in operation and maintenance funds for a five-year period led him to prepare a version of  
34 the resolution using this option.

35  
36 In response to a question from Herzog, Voorhees said the proposed increase in the tax base  
37 would be for a five-year period.

38  
39 In response to Sterner, Hawley discussed the amount proposed to be collected by the levy lid lift  
40 option.

41  
42 Voorhees noted that the levy lid lift is commonly used by fire districts for funding. He said the  
43 deadline for filing is September 22, and, therefore, council action is not required tonight.  
44 Voorhees said the law limits the number of words in a ballot title, and he believes some changes  
45 could be made for clarity, in response to a comment by Sterner. Sterner said it is important that  
46 voters understand what they are being asked to approve.

1 In response to a question from Armanini, Thompson said he is not interested in placing a levy lid  
2 lift on the ballot for operation and maintenance funding for the upcoming election; however, he  
3 may be open to consideration of such a proposal in the future.

4  
5 Olstad expressed his concern that the complicated nature of the proposals may contribute to their  
6 defeat in the election. Voorhees noted potential impacts of initiatives on the ballot.

7  
8 In response to a question from Kiest, Thompson noted the city's five-year projections, the cost  
9 estimate for operation and maintenance of a community center facility and the potential impact  
10 of initiatives on the ballot and court rulings on a previously-approved initiative. Armanini noted  
11 that the proposed community center represents a very different and potentially expensive  
12 program for the city.

13  
14 Herzog said that his concern regarding use of the levy lid lift is that there may be a higher need,  
15 given the uncertain future of the city's revenue streams.

16  
17 Kiest expressed his interest in additional information from bond counsel. Thompson expressed  
18 his concern regarding deadlines related to the November election.

19  
20 In response to a question from Armanini, Voorhees discussed state law restricting the actions of  
21 local governments related to borrowing funds and imposing taxes and a recent law regarding the  
22 form and content of ballot titles. He said the council has four resolutions for consideration that  
23 are in compliance with state law.

24  
25 Voorhees discussed issues related to specificity and discretion related to acquisition of  
26 properties. He noted that the city would have no authority to use bonds if properties are  
27 specified and could not be purchased. He said that parks bonds are usually sent to voters with  
28 broader language. Councilmembers discussed additional language to allow for flexibility in  
29 negotiations related to properties.

30  
31 In response to questions from Thompson, Voorhees discussed options regarding the sale of the  
32 property. He said that voter approval gives the city the power to issue bonds, but it is not  
33 required to do so if votes on the measures are split.

34  
35 In response to questions from Armanini, Voorhees discussed how school districts have used  
36 contingent ballot measures.

37  
38 In response to a question from Olstad, Voorhees said language in Resolution 600 could be re-  
39 worked for more clarity regarding the intent to retain ownership of the interim city hall property.  
40 Voorhees discussed the financial relationship between the new city hall project and the city's  
41 present ownership of the interim city hall property.

42  
43 In response to a request from Sterner, Voorhees said language in the ballot title and recitals in  
44 Resolution 603 could be adjusted for more clarity.

1 Councilmembers discussed various options presented by the proposed resolutions. Goss  
2 expressed her concern regarding the proposed operation and maintenance levy limited to the  
3 interim city hall property and suggested that the levy should be considered for more general use.  
4

5 Kiest expressed his concern regarding an operation and maintenance levy limited to one year of  
6 funding. He said he believes that the city needs to have a plan with approximately five years of  
7 funding to allow the city to ramp up the use of the facility with the goal of a self-sufficient  
8 operation.  
9

10 Armanini said she agrees with Kiest's remarks. She expressed concern regarding operating the  
11 facility on a year-to-year levy, and she noted that the lid lift option allows the city time to plan  
12 for use and to explore grants or other funding options.  
13

14  
15 A 10-minute recess was called for at 11 p.m.  
16

17 The meeting was resumed at 11:15 p.m.  
18

19  
20 Janice Schaefer, 16738 37<sup>th</sup> Ave. NE, said she believes that the public should be aware that the  
21 council has a concrete plan for use of the bonds in the interest of full disclosure.  
22

23 She expressed concern regarding the limitations of the proposed funding in today's real estate  
24 market. She suggested that \$500,000 earmarked for Horizon View Park and the \$700,000 for  
25 active parks could be used instead for the interim city hall property. She expressed concern that  
26 these ballot measures are becoming too complicated, and she would prefer not to have active  
27 parks as part of this ballot as presently proposed.  
28

29  
30 Bob Simmons, 3815 NE 182<sup>nd</sup> Street, said he believes that dividing the bond measure begins to  
31 divide the community. He said citizens who are working on the bond measure are extremely  
32 interested in active parks, and he would hate to see these issues separated. He expressed his  
33 interest in somehow providing information regarding the proposed properties and projects to the  
34 voters.  
35

36 Armanini said the council needed to determine the amount of the proposed bond, and the council  
37 discussion regarding properties and options helped determine that amount.  
38

39 Sterner said he believes the bond measure is an opportunity to provide the core funding for a  
40 park system for the city ranging for very active use parks to very passive use parks. Sterner said  
41 he does not want a ballot measure for either one or the other, and he said the bond measure  
42 should be for parks and open space.  
43

44 Thompson said the art of government is compromise. He noted that his vision is that Lake  
45 Forest Park should be a city that has a rich resource of passive parks and more community  
46 centeredness. Thompson said the new city hall will be located in the center of the city, but he  
47 said he agreed with Parks and Recreation Commissioners that the interim city hall property is a

1 good value. He said it is politically responsible and good fiduciary policy to put this issue to a  
2 vote.

3  
4 Thompson discussed options including moving \$700,000 to help fund the interim city hall site or  
5 designating the bond issue of \$3.3 million for general parks and recreation or deleting active  
6 parks altogether. He suggested a compromise of a non-contingent levy lid lift for general parks  
7 up to \$150,000 annually. He said he is interested in a compromise that would be more palatable  
8 to the voters, and there has always been strong sentiment expressed for more recreation for  
9 youth. He discussed possible outcomes of a vote. He noted that the city would not be required  
10 to sell bonds if approved; the city does not need additional construction funds from the potential  
11 sale of interim city hall until summer 2001 for the new city hall project; the city could submit a  
12 levy lift to the voters at another time if it failed the first time; and it is possible the administration  
13 could come up with a plan.

14  
15 Armanini said that she reserves the right of defining her own compromise and noted that she has  
16 arrived at a willingness to put the interim city hall property on the ballot along with the means of  
17 funding its operation and maintenance for five years.

18  
19 Kiest expressed his concern regarding funding the operation of a community center with a levy  
20 created for the purpose of parks and recreation. He expressed concern regarding renting out the  
21 facility if a levy for funds to operate it as a park and recreation facility is approved.

22  
23 Thompson noted that a levy would not be required if the city could easily lease the interim city  
24 hall property as suggested by Kiest.

25  
26 In response to a question from Kiest, Voorhees said the language seems sufficiently broad to  
27 allow including a community center in a levy.

28  
29 Olstad said he believes it would be a big mistake to separate active and passive parks, and he  
30 discussed the levy lid lift option for operation and maintenance.

31  
32 Sterner said he feels strongly that the bond measure should be contingent on the levy.

33  
34 Thompson said he believes that making the bond contingent on the levy passage is a death knell  
35 for both. He believes his proposal is a more palatable option for the voters.

36  
37 Herzog said the levy should be increased if the funds are to be used more generally for parks.

38  
39 Hutchinson discussed potential revenue from the use of the interim city hall facility could reduce  
40 the amount needed for its operation. This would allow further review of cost estimates for  
41 operation and maintenance.

42  
43 Sterner said he is not persuaded by the argument that exposing the total cost of operating and  
44 maintaining the interim city hall as a community center would cause the measure to fail. He said  
45 the order of magnitude of operating such a facility is far different than any other part of the  
46 proposed park system.

1 Thompson expressed his interest in making the \$150,000 levy proposal broader based to allow  
2 use for all parks and non-contingent.

3  
4 Following further discussion, councilmembers agreed to reconvene in special session on  
5 Thursday, August 31, 2000 at 7:30 p.m. at the interim city hall council chambers for the purpose  
6 of taking action on Resolutions 600, 601 and 603A or 603B.

7  
8  
9 **ADJOURNMENT**

10  
11 There being no objection, the meeting was adjourned at 12:16 a.m. to Thursday, August 31, 2000  
12 at 7:30 p.m. at which time a special meeting of the council will be held for the purpose of taking  
13 action on Resolutions 600, 601 and 603A or 603B.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 Susan Stine, City Clerk

21  
22  
23  
24 APPROVED:

25  
26  
27  
28 \_\_\_\_\_  
29 David R. Hutchinson, Mayor